



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/281,042	03/30/99	AGURE	S TII-26495

023494 TM02/1023
TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED
P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999
DALLAS TX 75265

EXAMINER	
JONES, H	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2123	

DATE MAILED:

10/23/01

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

☐ THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:

- a) ☒ is extended to run 4 mos or continues to run _____ from the date of the final rejection
- b) ☐ expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.

Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.

- ☐ Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).
- ☒ Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 6/18/01 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:

1. ☐ The proposed amendments to the claim and/or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
- ☐ There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.
 - ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
 - ☒ They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
 - ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
 - ☐ They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: See enclosed.

2. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claims _____ would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
3. ☒ Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment ☐ will be entered ☒ will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:

Claims allowed: _____
Claims objected to: _____
Claims rejected: 4-21

However;

- ☐ Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): _____

4. ☐ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because _____

5. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficient reasons why it was not earlier presented.

- ☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner.
- ☐ Other

[Signature]
KEVIN J. TESKA
SUPERVISORY
PATENT EXAMINER

Best Available Copy

Art Unit: 2123

DETAILED ACTION

1. The Examiner has carefully reviewed paper # 14. Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Specifically:

- there is no "marked up copy" of the amendment as required;
- Applicant's allegations that the Examiner's arguments are "self-contradictory" are not accepted;
- allegations regarding the Examiner's purported "beliefs" are not accepted.
- Arguments relating to the 112 and prior art rejections are not persuasive;
- allegations regarding purported "admittance" by the Examiner relating to "cited but not used prior art" are not accepted;
- the Examiner has reviewed the indicated portions of the specification (as indicated by Applicants in response to the 112(1)) and maintains the 112(1) rejections;
- all issues have been adequately addressed in paper # 11.

2. **Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be:**

directed to:

Dr. Hugh Jones telephone number (703) 305-0023, Monday-Thursday 0830 to 0700

ET, *or* the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Teska, telephone number (703) 305-9704.

Application/Control Number: 09/281,042

Page 3

Art Unit: 2123

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist, telephone number (703) 305-3900.

mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-9051 (for formal communications intended for entry)

or (703) 308-1396 (for informal or draft communications, please label "*PROPOSED*" or "*DRAFT*").

Dr. Hugh Jones

October 21, 2001



KEVIN J. TESKA
SUPERVISORY
PATENT EXAMINER